



International Academy
of Neuromusculoskeletal Medicine



Pillars of Practice

International Academy of Neuromusculoskeletal Medicine (IANM)



IANM Pillars of Practice

Framework for Advanced Practice in Nonsurgical Neuromusculoskeletal Medicine (NMSM)

Purpose and Scope

The *IANM Pillars of Practice* define the core competencies, knowledge domains, and clinical expectations of the board certified neuromusculoskeletal medicine (NMSM) specialist. This document provides a structured, academically rigorous framework that reflects both the depth and breadth of specialty level practice while remaining practical for education, assessment, and clinical application.

This framework serves three primary purposes:

- **Examination Blueprint:** Establishes the domains and competencies upon which candidates are assessed
- **Educational Framework:** Guides curriculum development, training, and continuing education
- **Professional Standard:** Defines expectations for clinical excellence and specialist level reasoning

This document is not intended to function as an exhaustive catalog of conditions. Rather, it outlines the *clinical architecture* through which conditions are evaluated, diagnosed, and managed.

As a living document, it is subject to periodic revision to reflect evolving evidence, clinical standards, and healthcare systems.

Definition of the NMSM Specialist

A board-certified NMSM specialist demonstrates advanced competency in the nonsurgical management of neuromusculoskeletal conditions through:

- Sophisticated clinical reasoning and diagnostic accuracy
- Integration of multisystem knowledge in complex presentations
- Evidence-based and patient-centered care delivery
- Functional outcome driven treatment planning
- Medicolegal awareness and defensible documentation

The specialist operates at a level beyond entry-to-practice clinicians, characterized by consistency, efficiency, and the ability to manage diagnostic uncertainty.

Foundational Clinical Principles

Integration of Clinical Data

No single element of the clinical encounter - history, examination, or diagnostic testing - should be interpreted in isolation. Clinical decisions are derived from the integration of all available data.

Pattern Recognition and Clinical Reasoning

Expert clinicians rely on both analytic reasoning and pattern recognition. The ability to identify meaningful patterns across presentations is central to efficient and accurate diagnosis.

Biopsychosocial Model

Patient care must consider biological, psychological, and social contributors to health and disease. Outcomes are optimized when care extends beyond purely structural considerations.

Risk Stratification

Clinicians must continuously evaluate for serious pathology, progression risk, and potential complications. Early recognition of high risk conditions is essential.

Functional Outcomes

The primary goal of care is the restoration and optimization of function. Pain reduction alone is insufficient as a sole marker of success.

Clinical Accountability

All clinical decisions must be justifiable, reproducible, and clearly documented.

Clinical Reasoning Model

NMSM specialists employ an integrated clinical reasoning model that combines:

- **Hypothesis Generation:** Early formation of diagnostic possibilities based on initial data
- **Hypothesis Testing:** Use of targeted examination and diagnostics to confirm or refute possibilities
- **Pattern Recognition:** Rapid identification of recognizable clinical presentations

- **Risk-Based Prioritization:** Continuous ranking of diagnoses based on severity and urgency
- **Iterative Reassessment:** Ongoing refinement of diagnosis and management based on response to care

This model supports decision making in both routine and complex presentations and forms the foundation of board level competency.

Structure of the Pillars of Practice

The Pillars of Practice represent seven integrated domains of clinical expertise. While presented sequentially, they function dynamically and iteratively in practice.

Pillar I: Patient History and Clinical Interview

Overview

The patient history forms the foundation of clinical decision making. A thorough and efficient interview frequently provides the majority of information required to guide diagnosis, risk stratification, and management.

At the specialist level, history taking is a directed, hypothesis driven process that actively shapes diagnostic reasoning.

Objectives

- Identify the primary complaint and associated symptoms
- Establish onset, mechanism, and progression
- Detect red flags and systemic involvement
- Define functional impact and patient specific goals

Core Components

- Chief complaint and history of present illness
- Mechanism of injury or onset
- Past medical history and comorbidities
- Medications and lifestyle factors
- Psychosocial considerations

Clinical Competencies (Baseline)

- Efficient data gathering
- Identification of high risk presentations

- Development of preliminary hypotheses

Advanced Competencies (Specialist)

- Hypothesis driven interviewing
- Real time pattern recognition
- Continuous risk stratification
- Identification of non-obvious contributors
- Efficient, high-yield questioning

Specialist vs. Non-Specialist Distinction

- Non-specialist: checklist based, limited differential
- Specialist: hypothesis driven, broad and prioritized differential

Clinical Application Examples

- Cervical pain with headache → screen for vascular and neurologic causes early
 - Persistent shoulder pain → consider cervical, neurologic, and systemic sources
 - Chronic low back pain → integrate psychosocial and central sensitization factors
-

Pillar II: Physical Examination and Diagnostic Evaluation

Overview

The physical examination validates and refines diagnostic hypotheses generated during the history.

Examination Principles

- Targeted rather than exhaustive
- Reproducible and reliable
- Interpreted within clinical context

Core Components

- Observation and Posture
- Range of Motion
- Neurologic Examination
- Orthopedic Testing
- Functional Assessment

Diagnostic Studies

- Imaging (radiographs, MRI, CT, ultrasound)
- Laboratory testing when indicated

Clinical Competencies (Baseline)

- Performance of orthopedic and neurologic exams
- Recognition of normal vs abnormal findings

Advanced Competencies (Specialist)

- Selection of high specificity and high sensitivity tests
- Minimization of redundancy
- Integration of exam findings with prior hypotheses
- Identification of discordant findings requiring reassessment

Specialist vs. Non-Specialist Distinction

- Non-specialist: broad, protocol driven testing
- Specialist: targeted, hypothesis confirming examination

Clinical Application Examples

- Radicular symptoms → differentiate nerve root vs peripheral nerve vs central origin
 - Knee pain → distinguish intra-articular pathology vs referred hip or spinal source
-

Pillar III: Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis

Overview

Diagnosis is a dynamic and iterative process involving hypothesis generation, testing, and refinement.

Differential Diagnosis Development

- Broad initial consideration
- Progressive narrowing based on evidence

Prioritization

- Life threatening conditions
- Function threatening conditions
- Common vs rare conditions

Clinical Reasoning Models

- Pattern recognition
- Hypothetico-deductive reasoning

Clinical Competencies (Baseline)

- Development of differential diagnosis

- Recognition of common conditions

Advanced Competencies (Specialist)

- Prioritization based on severity and urgency
- Recognition of atypical presentations
- Integration of systemic disease mimics
- Management of diagnostic uncertainty

Specialist vs. Non-Specialist Distinction

- Non-specialist: narrow differential, early closure
- Specialist: broad differential, continuously refined

Clinical Application Examples

- Shoulder pain → differentiate rotator cuff vs cervical radiculopathy vs visceral referral
 - Dizziness → distinguish vestibular vs vascular vs neurologic causes
-

Pillar IV: Treatment Planning and Implementation

Overview

Treatment planning is individualized and adaptive, guided by diagnosis, patient goals, and risk profile.

Core Components

- Goals (short and long term)
- Interventions
- Frequency and duration

Intervention Categories

- Manual therapy
- Therapeutic exercise
- Patient education
- Lifestyle modification

Risk Management

- Contraindications
- Adverse event prevention

Clinical Competencies (Baseline)

- Development of treatment plans

- Selection of standard interventions

Advanced Competencies (Specialist)

- Integration of multiple treatment modalities
- Risk-benefit analysis for each intervention
- Adaptation based on patient response
- Recognition of need for referral or co-management

Specialist vs. Non-Specialist Distinction

- Non-specialist: protocol driven care
- Specialist: individualized, adaptive care plans

Clinical Application Examples

- Chronic joint dysfunction → combine manual therapy, rehab, and load management
 - Lumbar radiculopathy → balance conservative care with referral when indicated
-

Pillar V: Assessment and Outcomes Management

Overview

Ongoing assessment determines treatment effectiveness and guides clinical decisions.

Outcome Measures

- Pain scales
- Functional assessments
- Patient reported outcomes

Re-evaluation

- Regular reassessment intervals
- Objective and subjective comparison

Maximum Medical Improvement (MMI)

- Determination of plateau
- Transition to maintenance or discharge

Clinical Competencies (Baseline)

- Monitoring of symptoms and function
- Use of outcome measures

Advanced Competencies (Specialist)

- Identification of response patterns

- Early recognition of non-response
- Determination of MMI
- Transition to maintenance or discharge planning

Specialist vs. Non-Specialist Distinction

- Non-specialist: reactive reassessment
- Specialist: proactive, structured outcome tracking

Clinical Application Examples

- Plateau in low back pain → reassess diagnosis, modify treatment, or refer
 - Persistent symptoms → evaluate for missed diagnoses or complicating factors
-

Pillar VI: Clinical Documentation and Medicolegal Standards

Overview

Documentation is integral to clinical care, communication, and legal defensibility.

Core Elements

- Accuracy
- Completeness
- Clarity

Documentation Structure

- SOAP format
- Clinical rationale
- Treatment justification

Medicolegal Considerations

- Compliance with regulations
- Informed consent
- Record integrity

Clinical Competencies (Baseline)

- Accurate and complete documentation
- Use of standard formats (e.g., SOAP)

Advanced Competencies (Specialist)

- Documentation of clinical reasoning and decision making
- Clear linkage between findings, diagnosis, and treatment
- Ability to support causation and prognosis when required

- Alignment with medicolegal standards and expectations

Specialist vs. Non-Specialist Distinction

- Non-specialist: descriptive documentation
- Specialist: analytical, defensible documentation

Clinical Application Examples

- Injury case → clearly document mechanism, onset, and progression
 - Chronic condition → document response to care and rationale for continued treatment
-

Pillar VII: Evidence-Based Practice and Patient-Centered Care

Overview

Clinical care integrates best available evidence, clinician expertise, and patient preferences.

Evidence-Based Practice

- Critical appraisal of literature
- Application to individual cases

Patient-Centered Care

- Shared decision making
- Respect for patient values

Communication

- Risk/benefit discussion
- Informed consent

Clinical Competencies (Baseline)

- Awareness of current evidence
- Communication with patients

Advanced Competencies (Specialist)

- Critical appraisal of literature
- Application of evidence to individual patients
- Integration of patient preferences and expectations
- Shared decision making

Specialist vs. Non-Specialist Distinction

- Non-specialist: guideline following
- Specialist: evidence integration with clinical judgment

Clinical Application Examples

- Treatment selection → integrate research evidence with patient goals and constraints
 - Risk discussion → clearly communicate benefits and potential complications
-

Examination Framework

The certification process evaluates candidates across all pillars, emphasizing:

- Clinical reasoning
- Integration of data
- Decision-making in complex scenarios

Greater weighting is placed on history, examination, treatment planning, and documentation, reflecting their importance in clinical practice.

Professional Expectations

Board certified specialists are expected to:

- Maintain ongoing competency through continuing education
 - Adhere to ethical and legal standards
 - Demonstrate clinical excellence and accountability
 - Engage in lifelong learning
 - Contribute to the advancement of the profession
-

Conclusion

The IANM Pillars of Practice provide a structured, rigorous, and practical framework for defining and assessing expertise in neuromusculoskeletal medicine. This document supports the development of clinicians capable of delivering high level, evidence-based, and patient-centered care while maintaining the standards of a medical specialty.

As a living document, it will continue to evolve alongside the profession.

IANM Subspecialty Pillars of Practice

Purpose and Scope

This section defines subspecialty specific extensions of the IANM Pillars of Practice. It establishes the knowledge domains, clinical competencies, and decision making expectations required for fellowship level expertise within neuromusculoskeletal medicine.

These subspecialty pillars function as:

Examination Blueprint - defining specialty specific competencies

Educational Framework - guiding fellowship training and advanced certification

Professional Standard - establishing expectations for subspecialty level practice

This section does not serve as an exhaustive catalog of procedures or conditions. Rather, it defines the clinical architecture through which subspecialty care is delivered, evaluated, and refined.

Subspecialty Integration Within NMSM

All subspecialties operate within the foundational IANM Pillars of Practice.

Subspecialization represents an extension of core clinical competency, characterized by: - Increased technical precision - Expanded diagnostic and procedural depth - Advanced risk stratification and clinical decision making - Integration within interdisciplinary and medicolegal environments.

Subspecialty expertise is defined not only by procedural skill, but by the consistent application of advanced clinical reasoning within complex and variable clinical contexts.

Injection Therapy

Definition of the Specialty

Injection Therapy is a subspecialty of neuromusculoskeletal medicine focused on the targeted administration of therapeutic agents to specific anatomical structures to reduce pain, restore function, and promote tissue healing.

This includes, but is not limited to: - Platelet based therapies (e.g., PRP and derivatives) - Ozone and regenerative injectables - Nutritional and biologic agents - Pharmacologic agents within scope of practice.

The specialty is defined by procedural precision, biologic integration, and adherence to safety standards within a comprehensive care model.

Pillar Integration

Pillar I: Patient History and Clinical Interview

The clinical history determines procedural appropriateness, identifies contraindications, and establishes treatment expectations. Emphasis is placed on prior treatment response, systemic contributors, and individualized risk profiles.

Pillar II: Physical Examination and Diagnostic Evaluation

Evaluation confirms target structures and procedural necessity. Imaging, particularly ultrasound, is utilized to improve diagnostic accuracy and procedural safety.

Pillar III: Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis

Diagnosis distinguishes conditions appropriate for injection therapy from those requiring alternative or escalated care. The specialist maintains awareness of systemic, neurologic, and non-musculoskeletal contributors.

Pillar IV: Treatment Planning and Implementation

Treatment planning integrates biologic principles, tissue healing timelines, and patient specific variables. Care includes selection of appropriate agents, dosing strategies, procedural sequencing, and integration with rehabilitation.

Procedural execution requires: - Aseptic technique - Anatomic precision - Appropriate use of image guidance.

Pillar V: Assessment and Outcomes Management

Outcomes are evaluated based on functional improvement, symptom response, and expected tissue healing. The specialist identifies responders, non-responders, and cases requiring modification or referral.

Pillar VI: Clinical Documentation and Medicolegal Standards

Documentation includes procedural details, agent selection, dosage, clinical rationale, and expected outcomes. Records must be clear, reproducible, and defensible.

Pillar VII: Evidence-Based Practice and Patient-Centered Care

Care is guided by evolving evidence in regenerative and interventional medicine, integrated with patient goals, expectations, and informed consent.

Forensics

Definition of the Specialty

Forensic NMSM is the application of medical knowledge to legal questions, including causation, standard of care, impairment, and medical necessity. The specialist functions as an independent evaluator, providing objective, evidence-based opinions.

Pillar Integration

Pillar I: Patient History and Clinical Interview

Primary data is derived from documentation. The specialist evaluates completeness, consistency, chronology, and clinical relevance of records.

Pillar II: Physical Examination and Diagnostic Evaluation

When performed, examinations are structured and targeted to address specific legal questions, including impairment, function, and consistency of findings.

Pillar III: Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis

Diagnosis extends to determinations of causation, relatedness, and appropriateness of care. Clinical findings are interpreted within legal and evidentiary frameworks.

Pillar IV: Treatment Planning and Implementation

The specialist formulates opinions regarding standard of care, medical necessity, and maximum medical improvement. All conclusions must be logically derived and evidence-based.

Pillar V: Assessment and Outcomes Management

The outcome is the production of defensible medical opinions supported by documentation, literature, and clinical reasoning.

Pillar VI: Clinical Documentation and Medicolegal Standards

Reporting is central to the specialty. Documentation must be structured, clear, and legally defensible, translating complex medical information for legal stakeholders.

Pillar VII: Evidence-Based Practice and Patient-Centered Care

The specialist maintains objectivity, minimizes bias, and adheres to established legal and ethical standards.

Concussion Management

Definition of the Specialty

Concussion Management focuses on the diagnosis, treatment, and longitudinal management of mild traumatic brain injury and post-concussive syndromes.

Pillar Integration

Pillar I: Patient History and Clinical Interview

History emphasizes mechanism of injury, symptom evolution, prior concussion history, and identification of red flags requiring emergent referral.

Pillar II: Physical Examination and Diagnostic Evaluation

Evaluation includes neurologic assessment, vestibulo-ocular testing, and cognitive and exertional evaluation.

Pillar III: Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis

The specialist differentiates concussion from structural brain injury, vestibular disorders, and cervicogenic dysfunction.

Pillar IV: Treatment Planning and Implementation

Care includes sub-symptom threshold activity, vestibular and cervicogenic rehabilitation, and structured return-to-learn and return-to-play protocols.

Pillar V: Assessment and Outcomes Management

Progress is monitored through symptom tracking, functional performance, and graded exertional response.

Pillar VI: Clinical Documentation and Medicolegal Standards

Documentation reflects clinical progression, response to care, and decision making rationale.

Pillar VII: Evidence-Based Practice and Patient-Centered Care

Management aligns with current consensus guidelines and evolving evidence, integrated with patient specific goals and recovery trajectories.

Diagnostic Ultrasound

Definition of the Specialty

Diagnostic Ultrasound is a subspecialty focused on real time imaging of neuromusculoskeletal structures to support diagnosis, guide intervention, and monitor tissue response.

Pillar Integration

Pillar I: Patient History and Clinical Interview

The clinical history directs the ultrasound examination by identifying the region of interest, suspected pathology, and functional limitations. Imaging priorities are refined through correlation of symptom behavior with target structures.

Pillar II: Physical Examination and Diagnostic Evaluation

The specialist demonstrates proficiency in sonographic anatomy, recognition of normal and pathological findings, and identification of imaging artifacts. Ultrasound is integrated with physical examination to enhance diagnostic accuracy.

Pillar III: Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis

Ultrasound findings are integrated with clinical data to refine diagnosis and differential considerations. The specialist distinguishes pathologic findings from normal variants and recognizes modality limitations.

Pillar IV: Treatment Planning and Implementation

Imaging findings inform targeted treatment strategies, procedural guidance, and referral decisions. Ultrasound enhances accuracy and safety in image guided interventions.

Pillar V: Assessment and Outcomes Management

Ultrasound is used to monitor tissue changes, healing progression, and response to intervention.

Pillar VI: Clinical Documentation and Medicolegal Standards

Imaging documentation must be clear, complete, and clinically relevant, including interpretation and correlation with clinical findings.

Pillar VII: Evidence-Based Practice and Patient-Centered Care

Use of ultrasound is guided by evidence regarding validity and clinical utility, integrated with patient presentation and care goals.

Geriatrics

Definition of the Specialty

Geriatric NMSM focuses on the care of aging populations, emphasizing function, safety, and quality of life within complex medical and psychosocial contexts.

Pillar Integration

Pillar I: Patient History and Clinical Interview

History is comprehensive and includes comorbidities, polypharmacy, functional status, and psychosocial considerations.

Pillar II: Physical Examination and Diagnostic Evaluation

Examination emphasizes vital signs, functional capacity, gait, balance, and fall risk.

Pillar III: Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis

The specialist recognizes atypical presentations and multisystem contributors to clinical symptoms.

Pillar IV: Treatment Planning and Implementation

Care is modified based on comorbidities, medication interactions, functional goals, and risk tolerance.

Pillar V: Assessment and Outcomes Management

Outcomes prioritize function, independence, safety, and quality of life.

Pillar VI: Clinical Documentation and Medicolegal Standards

Documentation reflects clinical complexity, decision making rationale, and risk considerations.

Pillar VII: Evidence-Based Practice and Patient-Centered Care

Care emphasizes patient goals, communication, and alignment with evidence-based geriatric principles.

Sports

Definition of the Specialty

Sports NMSM focuses on the evaluation, management, and optimization of neuromusculoskeletal conditions in active and athletic populations.

Pillar Integration

Pillar I: Patient History and Clinical Interview

History is sport specific and incorporates performance demands, training load, and environmental context.

Pillar II: Physical Examination and Diagnostic Evaluation

Evaluation integrates biomechanics, imaging, and performance metrics.

Pillar III: Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis

Diagnosis is developed within an interdisciplinary framework, integrating clinical findings with sport specific demands.

Pillar IV: Treatment Planning and Implementation

Treatment emphasizes load management, performance optimization, and structured return-to-play progression.

Pillar V: Assessment and Outcomes Management

Outcomes are defined by functional capacity, performance metrics, and safe return to activity.

Pillar VI: Clinical Documentation and Medicolegal Standards

Documentation supports interdisciplinary communication and return-to-play decision making.

Pillar VII: Evidence-Based Practice and Patient-Centered Care

Care integrates sports science, clinical evidence, and athlete specific goals.

Whiplash-Associated Disorders

Definition of the Specialty

Whiplash-Associated Disorders (WAD) is a subspecialty of neuromusculoskeletal medicine focused on the evaluation, diagnosis, and longitudinal management of cervical spine injuries resulting from acceleration-deceleration mechanisms. The specialty addresses the full clinical spectrum, from self-limiting soft tissue injury to chronic, disabling presentations with neurologic, vestibular, and psychosocial contributors.

Specialist level practice is defined by early risk stratification, application of evidence-based injury classification systems, and the integration of biomechanical, clinical, and psychosocial data within a defensible clinical and medicolegal framework.

Pillar Integration

Pillar I: Patient History and Clinical Interview

History emphasizes mechanism of injury, symptom onset and evolution, prior cervical history, and systematic red flag screening for serious cervical and neurologic pathology. The specialist incorporates motivational interviewing and validated psychosocial and prognostic instruments to identify early risk factors for chronicity and to shape subsequent care.

Pillar II: Physical Examination and Diagnostic Evaluation

Evaluation applies imaging stewardship principles, integrating validated cervical spine decision rules with targeted neurologic, vestibulo-ocular, and musculoskeletal examination to stratify injury severity. Imaging selection follows appropriateness criteria rather than reflexive protocol, with advanced modalities reserved for defined clinical questions. The specialist recognizes that unindicated imaging and the uncontextualized reporting of age related or incidental findings contribute to iatrogenic imaging disability, and communicates results in a mechanistically grounded, nocebo aware manner.

Pillar III: Diagnosis and Differential Diagnosis

Diagnosis is developed using evidence-based injury classification systems and refined by integration of clinical, neurologic, and psychosocial data. The specialist differentiates WAD from cervical radiculopathy, cervicogenic headache, vestibular and oculomotor disorders, concussion, and central sensitization phenotypes.

Pillar IV: Treatment Planning and Implementation

Care emphasizes early activation, graded exposure, and multimodal management, avoiding prolonged immobilization or reassurance only strategies. Treatment is adapted to injury severity, risk profile, and patient response, with structured criteria for stepped care, co-management, and return to function.

Pillar V: Assessment and Outcomes Management

Outcomes are monitored through validated measures of pain, disability, and function, with attention to early identification of nonresponders and the transition to chronic presentations. The specialist applies structured methodology for determination of maximum medical improvement, apportionment, and impairment when indicated.

Pillar VI: Clinical Documentation and Medicolegal Standards

Documentation reflects clinical neutrality, appropriate expression of uncertainty, and clear linkage between mechanism, findings, diagnosis, and management. Causation and impairment opinions, when required, are produced through structured methodology and reported within medicolegal frameworks separate from routine clinical notes.

Pillar VII: Evidence-Based Practice and Patient-Centered Care

Management aligns with current WAD specific guidelines and evolving evidence in cervical trauma, pain science, and rehabilitation. Care integrates patient expectations, functional goals, and informed consent, with particular attention to the nocebo potential of clinical language in trauma and litigation contexts.

Conclusion

These subspecialty pillars extend the IANM framework into advanced domains of practice, reflecting increased depth, complexity, and clinical responsibility while maintaining alignment with core principles of neuromusculoskeletal medicine.

As subspecialties evolve, these frameworks will be refined to reflect advances in clinical practice, research, and professional standards.